623. The Colour Isomerism and Structure of Copper Co-ordination Compounds. Part VII.* The Crystal Structure of Bissalicylaldehydatocopper(II).

By A. J. McKinnon, T. N. Waters, and D. Hall.

The crystal structure of bissalicylaldehydatocopper(II) has been determined by two-dimensional projection methods. The two salicyldehyde ligands are individually planar, but the molecule is slightly distorted such that they are not coplanar.

The crystal structure of bissalicylaldiminatocopper(II) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ shows the co-ordination of the metal to be strictly square planar, there being no axial approach of less than $3.85 \AA$. This is an interesting contrast to the situation in the structure of bis- $(N$-methylsalicylaldiminato)copper(II) ${ }^{2}$ where the molecules all lie parallel, the copper atoms forming a chain with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ separations of $3.33 \AA$. As part of a study of the factors which cause the presence or absence of such axial bonds we have determined the structure of the closely related complex bissalicylaldehydatocopper(II).

* Part VI, J., 1964, 2489.
${ }^{1}$ Stewart and Lingafelter, Acta Cryst., 1959, 12, 842.
${ }^{2}$ Lingafelter, Simmons, Morosin, Scheringer, and Freiburg, Acta Cryst., 1961, 14, 1222.


## Experimental

Crystals were obtained by evaporation of a cold chloroform solution as small prisms with $\{101\}$, $\{10 \overline{1}\}$, and $\{111\}$ equally developed. The crystal data were: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{CuO}_{4}, M=305.7$. monoclinic, $a=8.72 \pm 0.02, b=6.19 \pm 0.02, c=11.26 \pm 0.03 \AA, \beta=104.8^{\circ}, U=587.6 \AA,{ }^{3}$ $D_{\mathrm{m}}=1.71$ by flotation, $Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.725$. Space group $P 2_{1} / n$. $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, singlecrystal Weissenberg photographs. Data were collected for the $h k 0, h 0 l$, and $0 k l$ zones by the multiple-film method, and intensities etimated by visual comparison with a standard scale. Small equant crystals were used and no absorption corrections made.

Symmetry considerations demand that the copper atom be at a centre of symmetry, and a direct electron-density synthesis could therefore be calculated for the (010) projection. The light-atom positions were clearly defined, and refinement proceeded by difference syntheses


Fig. 1. Electron density projection on (010). Contours are at intervals of le. $\AA^{-2}$, the lowest being the zero contour.


Fig. 2. Electron density projection on (100). Contours are at intervals of $1 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-2}$, the lowest being the zero contour.
together with a least-squares procedure for determining the scale constant, the copper temperature factor, and an overall light-atom temperature factor. Seven reflections, of a total of 123 , were corrected for secondary extinction. ${ }^{3}$ When the reliability factor was $0 \cdot 13$ the outstanding features of the difference map suggested distinct anisotropy in the thermal motion of the copper atom, which was corrected accordingly. The final reliability factor, including unobserved reflections, was 0.099 . The electron density map is shown in Fig. 1.

For the (100) projection only planes of the type $k+l$ even are phase-determined by the copper atom. The false mirror plane thereby introduced into a direct electron-density synthesis was partially removed by the inclusion of an arbitrarily phased, high index, high intensity reflection of the type $k+l$ odd and interpretation was not difficult. Subsequent refinement was as above, except that only isotropic temperature factors were used. With 4 out of 86 reflections corrected for extinction the final $R$ factor was $0 \cdot 103$. The electron-density map is shown in Fig. 2. Co-ordinates for the (001) projection were taken from the previous work and were refined as above to an $R$ factor of 0.093 .

Atomic co-ordinates are listed in Table 1. The numbering system, the bond lengths and the bond angles are shown in Fig. 3. Observed structure amplitudes and calculated structure factors are listed in Table 2. All atoms were clearly resolved in at least one projection and the

[^0]standard deviations in atomic positions calculated by Cruickshank's ${ }^{4}$ procedure are thus appropriate. These are $0.022 \AA$ for carbon atoms and $0.016 \AA$ for oxygen. In no case did the co-ordinates obtained from different projections differ by more than $2 \sigma$.


Fig. 3. Bond lengths and bond angles.

Fig. 4. Intermolecular approaches between successive molecules along (010).


Table 1.

|  | Atomic co-ordinates. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | 0.5000 | $\mathrm{C}(3) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 0.3390 | 0.8787 | 0.5488 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.3393 | 0.7035 | 0.4819 | $\mathrm{C}(4) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.250 | 1.0346 | 0.5146 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 0.6280 | 0.6184 | 0.6572 | $\mathrm{C}(5) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 0.2135 | 1.2064 | 0.5825 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.5950 | 0.7960 | 0.6995 | $\mathrm{C}(6) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.3380 | 1.2580 | 0.6887 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 0.4630 | 0.9284 | 0.6586 | $\mathrm{C}(7) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0.4573 | 1.1140 | 0.7270 |

## Discussion

The molecules are steeply inclined to the ( 010 ) plane with the result that successive molecules along $b$ make a large number of close van der Waals's contacts, as shown in Fig. 4. The axial separation from the copper atom (perpendicular to the co-ordination square) to the aromatic ring of the next molecule is $3 \cdot 21 \AA$. The mean plane through the molecule was calculated by the method of Schomaker et al. ${ }^{5}$ as $x+0.5662 y-1.332 z=0$ (coordinates expressed in $\AA$ ), and individual atomic displacements from this plane are listed in Table 3. Several of these, viz., for atoms $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{C}(3)$, and $\mathrm{C}(6)$, are highly significant in terms of the standard deviations, and the molecule must be distorted from the expected overall planarity. The mean plane through the benzene ring alone is $x+0.5121 y-$ $1 \cdot 3522 z+0.3275=0$, and displacements from this are also listed in Table 3. None of these is significant for the light atoms, and it appears that the individual ligands are effectively planar, but that a bend in the molecule occurs at the points of co-ordination. The planes of the two benzene rings are separated by $0.39 \AA$. Chemically similar molecules, e.g., bis-(N-methylsalicylaldiminato)copper(II), are perfectly planar, and the distortion of the molecule in the present structure may indicate a weak attraction between the copper atom and the adjacent aromatic ring. It has similarly been observed that in

[^1]Table 2.
Observed structure amplitudes and calculated structure factors $(\times 10)$.

| Planes $h 0 l$ |  |  | Plane | $F_{0}$ | $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Plane | $F_{0}$ | $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ | Plane | $F_{0}$ | $F_{\text {c }}$ | Plane | $F_{0}$ | $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plane | $F_{\text {o }}$ | $F_{0}$ | 501 | 354 | 321 | 110] | 96 | 127 | 046 | 204 | 208 | 260 | 103 | 108 |
| 002 | 561* | 552 | $\overline{1}$ | 93 | 58 | $\overline{3}$ | 117 | 119 | 7 | 69 | 71 | 7 | 59 | -61 |
| 4 | 587* | 606 | 3 | 381 | 349 | 5 | 86 | 77 | 8 | 147 | 124 |  |  | - |
| 6 | $598 *$ | 640 | 3 | 316 | 330 |  |  |  | 9 | 24 | 30 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 240 | 214 | 5 | 202 | 224 |  |  |  | 10 | 187 | 209 | 310 | 40 | 44 |
| 10 | 211 | 213 | 5 | 313 | 290 |  | lanes |  | 11 | 64 | 88 | 2 | 182 | 138 |
| 12 | 187 | 207 | $\frac{7}{7}$ | 259 | 209 | 002 | 533 | 536 | 12 | 63 | 74 | 3. | 375 119 | 392 92 |
| 14 | 150 | 46 | 7 9 | 257 | 218 | 4 | 618 | 600 |  |  |  | 5 | 140 | 136 |
|  |  |  | $\frac{9}{9}$ | 243 | 243 | 6 | 602 * | 612 | 051 | 209 | 204 | 6 | 143 | 136 7 |
| 101 | $1210{ }^{*}$ | 1150 | 11 | 58 | 124 | 8 | 180 | 131 | 2 | 79 | $-55$ | 7 | 140 | 137 |
| 3 | 206 | 161 | $\frac{11}{11}$ | 98 | 129 | 10 | 155 | 147 | 3 | 246 | 259 |  | 140 |  |
| 3 | 206 | $-187$ | $\frac{1}{17}$ | 134 0 | 129 49 | 12 | 132 | 136 | 4 | 71 | $-20$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | 833* | 833 | 10 | 0 | 49 | 14 | 72 | 88 | 5 | 188 | 190 | 400 | 94 | 96 |
| 5 | 467* | 501 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 188 | -44 | 1 | 250 | 255 |
| $\frac{7}{7}$ | 341 | 302 | 600 | 382 | 383 | 011 | 138 | 149 | 7 | 139 | 132 | 2 | 452 | 466 |
| $\overline{7}$ | 329 | 346 | $\frac{2}{5}$ | 442 | 420 | - 2 | 138 31 | 141 | 8 | 63 | -36 | 3 | 17 248 | -20 |
| 9 | 155 | 124 | $\overline{2}$ | 273 | 269 | 3 | $\stackrel{316}{ }$ | 310 | 9 | 94 | $-105$ | 4 | 248 | 249 |
| $\overline{9}$ | 281 | 274 | $\frac{4}{4}$ | 137 | 140 | 4 | 200 | 310 -200 | 10 | 0 | 108 8 | 5 | 61 | -49 |
| 11 | 114 | 155 | 4 | 466 | 502 | 5 | 252 | - 223 | 11 | 24 | 28 | ${ }_{7}$ | 119 | 138 |
| $1 T$ | 174 | 170 | $\frac{6}{6}$ | 232 | 229 | 6 | 138 | -118 |  |  |  | 7 | 29 | 33 |
| 13 | 134 | 173 | 6 | 309 | 297 | 7 | 324 | -118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{1} \overline{3}$ | 173 | 162 | $\frac{8}{8}$ | 224 119 | 210 100 | 8 | 78 78 | $\begin{array}{r}85 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 060 | 73 102 | 82 -99 | 510 | 272 | 288 |
|  |  |  | 119 | 119 90 | 100 96 | 9 | 186 | 149 | 2 | 207 | 198 | 2 | 140 | $-132$ |
| 200 | 203 | 248 | 12 | 190 | 173 | 10 | 61 | -45 | 8 | 84 | 1989 | 3 | 211 | 197 |
| $\frac{2}{2}$ | 242 | $-268$ | 12 | 190 | 173 | 11 | 153 | 150 | 4 | 153 | 152 | 4 | 13 | -24 |
| 2 | 64 | -1 |  |  |  | 12 | 72 | -55 | 5 | 68 | 67 | 5 | 279 | 273 |
| 4 | 277 | 274 | 701 | 503 | 547 | 13 | 77 | 75 | 6 | 86 | 77 | 6 | 92 | 80 |
| $\overline{4}$ | 240 | 256 | I | 211 | 213 | 14 | 23 | $-36$ | 7 | 41 | 77 -29 | 7 | 37 | 43 |
| 6 | 352 | 331 | 3 | 263 | 225 |  |  |  | 8 | $\xrightarrow{4106}$ | -109 |  |  |  |
| $\overline{6}$ | 256 | 256 | $\overline{3}$ | 332 | 312 |  |  |  | 8 | 106 26 | 109 -15 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 175 | 189 | 5 | 166 | 179 | 020 | 75 | 84 | 9 | 26 | $-15$ | 600 | 362 | 372 |
| $\frac{8}{8}$ | 333 | 352 | $\overline{5}$ | 291 | 282 | 1 | 105 | 134 |  |  |  | 1 | 114 | -108 |
| 10 | 133 | 138 | 7 | 249 | 234 | 2 | 371 * | 422 | 071 | 89 | 92 | 2 | 156 | 137 |
| 10 | 204 | 181 | $\overline{7}$ | 154 | 164 | 3 | 108 | $-102$ | 2 | 35 | $-23$ | 3 | 48 | $-31$ |
| 12 | 81 | 134 | $\overline{9}$ | 139 | 137 | 4 | 233 | 254 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 142 | 137 |
| 12 | 195 | 184 | IT | 194 | 182 | 5 | 128 | $-89$ | 4 | 51 | $-63$ | 5 | 40 | $-59$ |
| 14 | 155 | 174 | 13 | 106 | 131 | 6 | 257 | 266 -111 | 5 | 50 | 54 | 6 | 85 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 113 | $-111$ | 6 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 301 | 41 | 27 | 800 | 180 | 153 | 8 | 258 | 282 -3 |  |  |  | 710 | 189 | 172 |
| $\bar{\square}$ | 252 | 275 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 283 | 272 | 10 | 64 | -32 | 080 | 72 | 88 | 2 | 29 | $-33$ |
| 3 | 240 | 183 | $\frac{\square}{4}$ | 0 | 38 | 11 | 36 | -41 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 3 | 105 | 103 |
| $\overline{3}$ | 415* | 495 | 4 | 50 | 97 | 12 | 114 | -4105 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 48 | 28 |
| 5 | 238 | 203 | 4 | 195 | 160 | 13 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  | $\tilde{\square}$ | 99 | 88 |
| $\overline{5}$ | 137 | 107 | 6 | 218 | 222 | 13 | 0 |  |  | nes $h$ |  | 6 | 39 | 26 |
| 7 | 151 | 160 | 6 | 65 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{7}$ | 263 | 276 | 8 | 170 | 166 | 031 | 167 | 192 | 020 | 85 | 71 200 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 279 | 292 | 10 | 125 | 116 | 2 | 282 | 307 | 4 | 246 | 209 | 800 | 138 | 135 |
| $\overline{9}$ | 265 | 258 | $\overline{1}$ | 102 | 102 | 3 | 187 | 191 | 6 | 75 | 87 | 1 | 80 | -19 |
| 11 | 133 | 164 |  |  |  | 4 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 75 | 101 | 3 | 153 0 | 157 -11 |
| $1 \overline{1}$ | 226 | 211 | 901 | 97 | 97 | 5 | 155 | 131 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 77 | -11 |
| T $\overline{3}$ | 196 | 212 | $\overline{1}$ | 38 | 12 | 6 | 56 | -7 | 110 | 290 | 289 | 4 5 | 27 | 717 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 32 | 72 | 7 | 29 | -31 | 2 | 62 | $-12$ | 5 | 22 | 17 |
| 400 | 123 | 132 | $\overline{3}$ | 130 | 135 | 8 | 160 | 142 | 3 | 358 | 340 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 377 | 407 | 5 | 85 | 110 | 9 | 127 | 140 | 4 | 184 | 169 | 910 | 180 | 196 |
| $\overline{2}$ | 264 | 310 | $\overline{5}$ | 77 | 76 | 10 | 82 | -79 | 5 | 281 | 255 | 2 | 70 | 56 |
| 4 | 370 | 391 | 7 | 130 | 125 | 11 | 75 | 76 | 6 | 169 | $-172$ | 3 | 97 | 106 |
| 4 | 214 | 224 | 9 | 115 | 110 | 12 | 20 | -: | 7 | 119 | 120 | 4 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 | 169 | 132 | $\overline{1}$ | 68 | 65 | 13 | 26 | 55 | 8 | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |
| $\overline{6}$ | 190 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 53 | 51 |
| $\frac{8}{8}$ | 299 | 276 | 1000 | 78 | 92 | 040 | 209 | 199 | 200 | 228 | 233 | 1 | 18 | -1 |
| 8 | 261 | 243 | 2 | 64 | 111 | 1 | 35 | 22 | 1 | 103 | 82 | 2 | 79 | 91 |
| 10 | 232 | 237 | $\overline{2}$ | 151 | 164 | 2 | 306 | 326 | 2 | 489 | 521 | 3 | 28 | 26 |
| 10 | 135 | 134 | $\frac{4}{4}$ | 123 | 116 | 3 | 87 | $-79$ | 3 | 37 | $-15$ |  |  |  |
| T2 | 195 | 193 | $\overline{6}$ | 96 | 82 | 4 | 278 | 282 | 4 | 112 | 97 | * denot | corr |  |
| 14 | 54 | 27 | $\overline{8}$ | 96 | 101 | 5 | 70 | 65 | 5 | 0 | $-6$ | or exti | tion. |  |

Table 3.
Deviations from the mean planes through (i) the molecule and (ii) the benzene ring.

| Atom |  | Deviation ( $\AA$ ) |  | Atom |  | Deviation ( $\AA$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (i) | (ii) |  |  | (i) | (ii) |
| Cu |  | 0 | $+0 \cdot 193$ | C(3) |  | $-0.067$ | $-0.002$ |
| O(1) |  | $-0.129$ | $+0.005$ | C(4) |  | $-0.010$ | $+0.011$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ |  | $-0.084$ | $+0.052$ | C(5) |  | $+0.020$ | $-0.021$ |
| C(1) |  | $-0.019$ | $+0.056$ | C(6) |  | $+0.090$ | $+0.022$ |
| C(2) |  | -0.034 | $+0.004$ | C(7) |  | $+0.013$ | $-0.015$ |

the crystal structure of bisacetylacetonatocopper(II), ${ }^{6}$ the copper atom makes an axial approach of $3.09 \AA$ to a neighbouring conjugated ring, and this approach is associated
${ }^{6}$ Dahl, Personal communication quoted by Piper and Belford, Mol. Physics, 1962, 5, 169.
with a distortion from the expected planarity of the molecule. It has been suggested ${ }^{7}$ that such weak attractions may be examples of polarisation bonds, the copper atom acting as acceptor and the $\pi$-bond system as donor.

While this Paper was being prepared a preliminary Note appeared ${ }^{8}$ describing an independent investigation of the same structure. The results appear to be in agreement but no mention is made of the above molecular distortion.

Calculations involved in this work were performed on SILLIAC, University of Sydney, and the IBM 1620 at the University of Canterbury.
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